UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW TORK
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
v.
MOHAMED BAHI,
Defendant

Affidavit Requesting
Order of Continuance

24 Mag. 3535

State of New York)
County of New York) ss.
Southern District of New York)

Robert B. Sobelman, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1746, hereby declares under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct:

- 1. I am an Assistant United States Attorney in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York. I submit this affirmation in support of an application for an order of continuance of the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have to be filed, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
- 2. The defendant was charged in a complaint dated October 7, 2024, with witness tampering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512, and destruction of records in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1519. The defendant was arrested on October 8, 2024, was presented before Magistrate Judge Robert W. Lehrburger on October 8, 2024, and was ordered to be released on bail subject to certain conditions. At the presentment, defense counsel consented to a waiver of his client's right pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 to a preliminary hearing within 21 days of the initial appearance. Accordingly, under the Speedy Trial Act the Government initially had until November 7, 2024, within which to file an indictment or information.

- 3. On November 6, 2024, Magistrate Judge Jennifer E. Willis entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until December 9, 2024.
- 4. On December 4, 2024, Magistrate Judge Henry J. Ricardo entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until January 8, 2025.
- 5. On January 6, 2025, Magistrate Judge Barbara Moses entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until February 7, 2025.
- 6. On February 7, 2025, Magistrate Judge Ona T. Wang entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until March 10, 2025.
- 7. On March 10, 2025, Magistrate Judge Robert W. Lehrburger entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until April 9, 2025.
- 8. On April 4, 2025, Magistrate Judge Barbara Moses entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until May 9, 2025.
- 9. On May 5, 2025, Magistrate Judge Stewart D. Aaron entered an Order of Continuance, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), extending the time within which an indictment or information would otherwise have had to be filed in this case until June 9, 2025.

10. As noted in certain of the Government's prior requests, the defendant previously

entered into a written plea agreement with the Government. However, defense counsel Derek

Adams, Esq., and the Government are continuing to have discussions regarding the resolution of

this case and do not anticipate a resolution before the deadline under the Speedy Trial Act expires

on June 9, 2025.

Therefore, the Government is requesting a 30-day continuance until July 9, 2025, 11.

to continue the foregoing discussions and reach a resolution of this matter. On June 2, 2025,

defense counsel Derek Adams, Esq., consented to this request in writing. This application has been

authorized by Assistant United States Attorney Andy Dember, Deputy Chief of the Criminal

Division.

12. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice served by the granting of the

requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Dated: New York, New York

June 2, 2025

/s/ Robert B. Sobelman

Robert B. Sobelman Assistant United States Attorney

(212) 637-2616